Defining Idiopathic Inflammatory Myopathies (IIMs) and Classification Criteria INTERNATIONAL ANNUAL PATIENT CONFERENCE September 7, 2024



Ingrid E. Lundberg M.D. Ph.D. Professor Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet Stockholm, Sweden





Disclosure

- Advisory board: Argenx, Bristol-Myers Squibb, EMD Serono Research & Development Institute, Janssen, Pfizer, Chugai, Novartis
- Unrestricted grant: Astra-Zeneca
- Stock shares: Novartis, Roche



Outline of presentation

- Myositis traditional presentation
- Diagnosis of myositis
- New myositis subgroups
- Myositis autoantibodies
- Classification criteria for myositis
- Reveiw "Idiopathic inflammatory myopathies" <u>https://rdcu.be/cCs4c</u>

Idiopathic inflammatory myopathies (IIM) or myositis-traditional presentation

- Muscle weakness and low muscle endurance
- Cellular infiltrates in muscle tissue



Diagnosis - myositis

- Muscle weakness- proximal, symmetrical
- Elevated serum levels of muscle enzymes CK, LD
- Electromyogram (EMG) -pathology
- Muscle biopsy inflammation, regeneration, degeneration of muscle fibres
- Skin rash: Gottron ´s, heliotrope rash
- Bohan &Peter 1975

Today we also have:

- Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) oedema of skeletal muscle to identify inflammation
- Autoantibodies





Courtesy J Vencovsky

DM, dermatomyositis PM, polymyositis IBM, inclusion body myositis CAM, cancer associated myositis JDM, juvenile dermatomyositis

Subgrouping based on clinical and histopathological differences



Karolinska Institutet



Myositis: often a systemic disease



Rash

Nailfold capillary changes Raynaud's phenomenon Cuticular overgrowth Mechanic's Hands

Interstitial lung disease

Arthritis

Muscle weakness

Courtesy L. Christopher Stine

New subtypes of myositis

Myositis disease spectrum

Myositis-specific autoantibodies: an important tool to support diagnosis and subgroups of myositis



Betteridge Z et al J Int Med. 2015. 280:8-23

Anti-synthetase syndrome (Anti-tRNA synthetase autoantibodies, eg anti-Jo1)

- Myositis
- Interstitial lung disease (ILD)
- Arthritis
- Fever
- Raynaud
- Mechanic´s hands
- HLA-DRB1*0301 association





Love et al 1991 Arnett et al 1996

Anti-Jo-1 positive patients with different presentation



Anti-synthetase autoantibodies

- anti-Jo-1 (anti-histidyl tRNA synthetase)
- anti-PL-7 (anti- threonyl synthetase) ILD
- anti-PL-12 (anti-alanyl synthetase) ILD
- anti-EJ (anti-glycyl-synthetase)
- anti-OJ (anti-isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase) ILD
- anti-KS (asparaginyl-synthetase) ILD
- anti-Ha (tyrosyl-synthetase)
- anti- Zo (anti-phenylalanyl synthetase) ILD Betteridge Z et al, Rheumatol, 2007

ILD = interstitial lung disease

Antisynthetase syndrome anti-Jo1 vs anti-PL7/anti-PL12

Antisynthetase ab	Anti-Jo1 (n=160)	Anti-PL7 (n=25)	Anti-PL12(n=48)
Interstital lung disease (ILD)	67%*	80%*	88%
FVC	75±21*	66±18 (PL7/PL12)	
Muscle weakness	74%*	47%	44%

Anti-PL7/PL12 vs anti-Jo1

- More frequent and more severe ILD
- Less myositis
- Worse survival



Hervier B et al Autoimmun Rev 2012;12:2010-17; Pinal-Fernandez I et al Rheumatology. 2017;56:999–1007; Aggarwal R et al Ann Rheum Dis, 2014;73:227-32

Myositis-specific autoantibodies: an important tool to support diagnosis and subgroups of myositis



Betteridge Z et al J Int Med. 2015. 280:8-23

Immune mediated necrotising myopathy (IMNM)

- > Anti-SRP autoantibodies Love L et al Medicine (Baltimore),70:360, 1991
- Anti-HMGCR autoantibodies, associated with statins, but can also be seen without statin treatment Christopher-Stine L et al Arthritis Rheum, 62:2757-66, 2010
- Seronegative cancer associated
- Pronounced proximal muscle weakness
- High serum levels of CK
- Lung involvement is rare
- Microscopy: muscle fiber necrosis, regeneration
 limited inflammation

Hengstman GJD Ann Rheum Dis 65:1635, 2006



Courtesy Antonella Notarnicola

Myositis-specific autoantibodies: an important tool to support diagnosis and subgroups of myositis



Anti-TIF1 gamma autoantibodies

- Positive in 20-30 % of adult patients with dermatomyositis
- Positive in 25% of children with dermatomyositis
- Increased risk for cancer in adult patients with anti-TIF1 gamma but not in children
- But only 50% of patients with dermatomyositis and anti-TIF1 gamma develop cancer
- May be explained by protective antibodies: Anti-CCAR1antibodies

Fiorentino D et al J Clin Invest. 2022;132(2):e150201



Clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis (CADM)

- Skin rash typical of DM
- Skin biopsy consistent with dermatomyositis
- Absence of clinical or laboratory evidence of myositis
- Interstitial Lung Disease (Rapidly progressive ILD)
- Anti-MDA-5 antibodies

Gerami P et al J Am Acad Dermatol. 54:597 2006 Sontheimer R J Am Acad Derm 2006 Sato s et al Arthritis Rheum 2009;60:2193-200







Courtesy J. Vencovsky



Courtesy Dr Antonella Notarnicola



Diagnosis as help in the clinic

- For making decisions on treatment
- For prediction of prognosis

How can we improve treatment and life for patients with myositis?

Hypothesis: Different subsets may benefit from different interventions

- We need to know more about the molecular pathophysiology in myositis and its subsets to develop new therapies
- Can be acheived by detailed clinical and molecular characterization of patients
- Can be tested by using Targeted therapies
- For research and clinical trials we need classification criteria

Classification criteria vs diagnostic criteria

- A lack of a single gold standard diagnostic test for myositis
- We need criteria for diagnosis
- We need classification criteria for research and clinical trials
- Different methodology for development of diagnostic and classification criteria

Johnson SR et al A&R (Arthritis Care & Research), 2007;57:1119–1133 Dougados et al A&R (Arthritis Care & Research) 2007;57:1112–1115

Diagnostic criteria

• To be used to support diagnosis (of myositis)

Development of Diagnostic vs Classification criteria



Classification criteria for myositis



Lundberg IE at al Nat Rev Rheum 2018:14:269:

2017 European League Against Rheumatism/ American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and their major subgroups

Ingrid E Lundberg, ¹ Anna Tjärnlund, ¹ Matteo Bottai, ² Victoria P Werth, ³ Clarissa Pilkington, ⁴ Marianne de Visser, ⁵ Lars Alfredsson, ² Anthony A Amato, ⁶ Richard J Barohn, ⁷ Matthew H Liang, ⁸ Jasvinder A Singh, ^{9,10} Rohit Aggarwal, ¹¹ Snjolaug Arnardottir, ¹² Hector Chinoy, ¹³ Robert G Cooper, ¹⁴ Katalin Dankó, ¹⁵ Mazen M Dimachkie, ⁷ Brian M Feldman, ¹⁶ Ignacio Garcia-De La Torre, ¹⁷ Patrick Gordon, ¹⁸ Taichi Hayashi, ¹⁹ James D Katz, ²⁰ Hitoshi Kohsaka, ²¹ Peter A Lachenbruch, ²² Bianca A Lang, ²³ Yuhui Li, ²⁴ Chester V Oddis, ¹¹ Marzena Olesinska, ²⁵ Ann M Reed, ²⁶ Lidia Rutkowska-Sak, ²⁷ Helga Sanner, ²⁸ Albert Selva-O'Callaghan, ²⁹ Yeong-Wook Song, ³⁰ Jiri Vencovsky, ³¹ Steven R Ytterberg, ³² Frederick W Miller, ³³ Lisa G Rider, ³³ The International Myositis Classification Criteria Project consortium, The Euromyositis register and The Juvenile Dermatomyositis Cohort Biomarker Study and Repository (JDRG) (UK and Ireland)

Aims of the classification criteria project

- To develop <u>classification</u> criteria for use by basic and clinical researchers that <u>distinguish IIM from other major mimicking</u> <u>conditions</u> with high sensitivity and specificity; and
- To develop classification criteria that <u>separate the major subgroups of</u> <u>the IIM</u> from each other with high sensitivity and specificity.
- Data driven
- Combined effort to address both adult-onset and childhood-onset myositis, international, multidisciplinary

IMCCP variables

in total 93 variables

Demographic data

- Gender
- Age
- Ethnicity
- Clinical muscle variables

 Pattern of weakness
- Skin manifestations
- Other clinical variables
 - ILD
 - Dysphagia
 - Response to treatment

Laboratory data

- Muscle enzymes
- Autoantibodies
- Muscle biopsy
 - Histopathology
 - Immunohistochemistry
 - Electron microscopy
- Electromyogram (EMG)
- Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

Data collection

1600 IIM and comparators

IIM976 (74% adults; 26% children)Comparators624 (81% adults; 19% children)

SUBGROUPS IIM	n	%
Juvenile	251	15.7
dermatomyositis		
Polymyositis	241	15.1
Dermatomyositis	236	14.8
Inclusion body	176	11.0
myositis		
Amyopathic	44	2.8
dermatomyositis		
Hypomyopathic	12	0.8
dermatomyositis		
Immune-mediated	11	0.7
necrotizing myopathy		
Juvenile polymyositis	5	0.3
Non-inflammatory	624	39.0
myopathy		



Participating clinics (n=47)

North America:	17
South America:	1
Europe:	23
Asia:	6

2017 EULAR/ACR Classification Criteria for Adult and Juvenile Idiopathic Inflammatory Myopathies and their Major Subgroups

VARIABLE	SCORE POINTS		
	Without muscle	With muscle biopsy	
	biopsy data	data	
18 ≤ Age of onset of first symptom < 40	1.3	1.5	
Age of onset of first symptom \geq 40	2.1	2.2	
Clinical Muscle Variables			
Objective symmetric weakness, usually progressive, of the proximal upper extremities	0.7	0.7	
Objective symmetric weakness, usually progressive, of the proximal lower extremities	0.8	0.5	
Neck flexors are relatively weaker than neck extensors	1.9	1.6	
In the legs proximal muscles are relatively weaker than distal muscles	0.9	1.2	
Skin variables			
Heliotrope rash	3.1	3.2	
Gottron 's papules	2.1	2.7	
Gottron's sign	3.3	3.7	
Other Clinical Variables			
Dysphagia or esophageal dysmotility	0.7	0.6	
Laboratory Variables			
Elevated serum levels of creatine kinase (CK) or,	1.3	1.4	
Serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) <i>or</i> ,			
Serum aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT) or,			
Serum alanine aminotransferase (ALAT)			
Anti-Jo-1 (anti-Histidyl-tRNA synthetase) autoantibody positivity	3.9	3.8	
Muscle Biopsy Variables			
Endomysial infiltration of mononuclear cells surrounding, but not invading, myofibers		1.7	
Perimysial and/or perivascular infiltration of mononuclear cells		1.2	
Perifascicular atrophy		1.9	
Rimmed vacuoles		3.1	

A set of a cost to the set of the			
🗲 🛞 🚥 http://www.imm.ki.se/biostatistics/calculators/i 🔎 🛪 🗟 🖒 🗙 🔤 IIM Classification Criteria 🛛 🗴			ଲି ☆ 8
Classification Criteria for Idiopathic Inflammatory Myopathies			
Probability (min - max): 0 - 100%			
Age of onset of first symptom 0-17 18-39	40 +		
	Yes	No	
Objective symmetric weakness, usually progressive, of the proximal upper extremities			
Objective symmetric weakness, usually progressive, of the proximal lower extremities			
Neck flexors are relatively weaker than neck extensors			
In the legs proximal muscles are relatively weaker than distal muscles			
Heliotrope rash			
Gottron´s papules			
Gottron's sign			
Dysphagia or esophageal dysmotility			
Anti-Jo-1 (anti-His)		100	
Serum creatine kinase activity (CK) activity or Serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity or Serum aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT/AST/SGOT) activity or Serum alanine aminotransferase (ALAT/ALT/SGPT) activity			
Endomysial infiltration of mononuclear cells surrounding, but not invading, myofibers			
Perimysial and/or perivascular infiltration of mononuclear cells			
Perifascicular atrophy			
Rimmed vacuoles			
Webcalculator: www.imm.ki.se/biostatistics/c	calcu	lato	ors/iim
			SV ▲ 🛱 🍽II 🔰 18:33 2012-11-05

Probability of IIM vs. score

Without muscle biopsy, 55-60%



With muscle biopsy, 55-75%





Sensitivity and specificity, cut off 55% for IIM



The best balance between sensitivity and specificity can be found for a probability of 55-60% (total aggregated score of \geq 5.5 and \leq 5.7) for the criteria without muscle biopsy data, 55-75% (total aggregated score \geq 6.7 and \leq 7.6) with muscle biopsy data, cutoff for IIM is 55%

Definitions

- A probability of ≥90%, or a total aggregate score of ≥7.5 without muscle biopsy and ≥8.7 with muscle biopsy, corresponds to "definite IIM"
- Patients with a probability of ≥55% to <90% are designated "probable IIM".
- Probability ≥50% to <55% is termed "possible IIM"

Subgroup classification criteria



2023 start of REVISION OF THE MYOSITIS CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA PROJECT

Limitations of the EULAR/ACR classification criteria

- Missing variables: interstitial lung disease (ILD), myositis specific autoantibodies other than anti-Jo1, muscle MRI, certain skin rash
- Missing new relevant myositis subgroups

<u>AIM:</u> To revise the 2017 EULAR/ACR classification criteria for juvenile and adultonset idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and their major subgroups and validate the revised criteria

Organizational Structure



Updates on the Project

- Scoping review to systematically assess the performance of the 2017 Myositis Classification Criteria and inform the item selection process of the project was published in the Myositis supplement of CER
- Proposal to ACR and EULAR submitted in April 2024, and we got invited to submit full proposal by September 2024
- Circulated e-survey to the Steering Committee and Working Group Members to finalize the items that will be tested in the Revised Criteria

Results of the IMACS Feasibility Questionnaire General Feedback

- Positive Feedback (descending order):
- Useful for clinical practice
- Useful for clinical trials
- The web calculator is particularly useful
- Not including EMG as it is not too sensitive/specific
- No need for muscle biopsy
- Useful for difficult cases

Results of the IMACS Feasibility Questionnaire General Feedback

Negative Feedback (descending order):

- Lack of inclusion of additional autoantibodies Lack of EMG
- Lack of inclusion of ILD
- Lack of Muscle MRI
- Lack of other skin criteria
- No distinction of IMNM
- Concerns regarding the use of the term polymyositis
- No distinction of ASyS

- Lack of new histopathological parameters
- No distinction of Overlap-Myositis/ mixedconnective tissue diseases
- Poor ability in differentiating juvenile IIM subtypes
- Not useful for miscellaneous myositis, incl. granulomatous myositis, focal myositis
- Low representation of patients from different regions and ethnicities



Phase 1: Item generation

Phase 2: Data collection

Phase 3: Data analysis - Revision of the Criteria

Phase 4: Data analysis - Validation of the Criteria

Phase 5: Publication & Dissemination of the Results

Suggestions for additional variables by the working group - Imaging

2017 EULAR/ACR classification variables	What was previously collected as part of data collection?	Additional variable or changes proposed
	Muscle edema on STIR or T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) Muscle atrophy and/or increased muscle fat content on T1-weighted MRI scanning consistent with myositis	MRI findings consistent with myositis (local MD interpretation)

Suggestions for inclusion of other myositis subtypes by the working group

IMNM

Overlap myositis/MCTD

ASyS (meets the classification criteria of ASyS)

DM sine dermatitis

Juvenile myositis

Revision of the myositis classification criteria

- We are in the starting phase
- We aim for information on 1000 patients with myositis, representing different subgroups and 1000 patients with mimicking conditions
- Timeline: data collection in 2025
- Analyses and summary in 2026

Acknowledgements

IMCCP management team

Ingrid E Lundberg, Anna Tjärnlund, Matteo Bottai

Steering Committee members



Lars Alfredsson, Anthony Amato, Richard J Barohn, Matteo Bottai, Matthew L Liang, Ingrid E Lundberg (chair), Frederick W Miller, Clarissa Pilkington, Lisa G Rider, Jasvinder A Singh, Marianne de Victoria P Werth

Project participants

Visser,

Rohit Aggarwal, Maria Amoruso, Helena Andersson, Snjolaug Arnardottir, Kavish J Bhansing, Sara Bucher. Christina Charles-Schoeman, Sarah Cole, Roobert Cooper, Kathe Dahlbom, Katalin Danko, Mazen Dimachkie, Oliver Distler, Petros Efthimiou, Baziel GM van Engelen, Brian Feldman, Ignacio Garcia de la Torre, Patrick Gordon, Taichi Hayashi, Adam Huber, Hiroshi Kataoka, Yasuhiro Katsumata, James D Katz, Susan Kim, Hitoshi Kohsaka, Michelle Kong-Rosario, Apostolos Kontzias, Petra Krol, Prague, Bianca A Lang, Yuhui Li, Zhan-Guo Li, Björn Lindvall, Helen Linklater, Gulnara Mamyrova, Galina S Marder, Suely Marie, Pernille Mathiesen, Clio P Mavragani, Neil McHugh, Mimi Michaels, Reem Mohammed, David W Moser, Haralampos M Moutsopoulos, Chester Oddis, Marzena Olesinska, Lauren Pachman, Olga Petryna, Nicolo Pipitone, Andrea Pnyi, Faisal Raja, Suzanne Ramsey, Ann M Reed, P van Riel, Lidia Rutkowska-Sak, Adriana Sallum, Helga Sanner, Albert Selva-O'Callaghan, Samuel K Shinjo, Clovis Silva, Sally Smith, Yeong Wook Song, Elizabeth Stringer, Katarzyna Swierkocka, Sarah L Tansley, Anne Tournadre, Jiri Vencovsky, Swamy Venuturupalli, MC Vonk, Naleasha Warner, Maria Winzer, Mina Yassaee, Steven Ytterberg

Euromyositis register

Juvenile Dermatomyositis Cohort Biomarker Study and Repository (UK and Ireland)



MyoNet (Euromyositis) Register MY@NET

To be used in research and in clinical practice We welcome new collaborators!



2024 more than **6 500** patients from 30 centers world wide **Free to use for investigators. Ethical permit required**

Welcome to join the Euromyositis registry, find more information on <u>www.euromyositis.eu</u> Contact: <u>Hector.Chinoy@manchester.ac.uk; Ingrid.Lundberg@ki.se</u>

Acknowledgement to Karolinska Myositis research team and collaborators



<u>Collaborators</u>: Vivianne Malmström, Karine Chemin, Susanne Gräslund, Leonid Padyukov. <u>Lung clinic</u>; Johan Grunewald, Maryam Fathi, Magnus Sköld. <u>Leuven Univ</u>: Rik Lories, Ellen De Langhe <u>Amsterdam</u>: Marianne de Visser <u>Children's National Medical Center Washington DC :</u> K Nagaraju, J Boehler. Euromyositis: Hector Chinoy, Jiri Vencovsky, Patrick Gordon

Maryam Dastmalchi Antonella Notarnicola **Begum Horuluoglu** Karin Lodin Angeles S. Galindo Feria Lara Dani Fabricio Espinosa Charlotta Preger **Eveline van Gompel** Eva Lindroos Mei Bruton AnnaTjärnlund Cátia Cerqueria Inka Albrecht Quan Tang Louise Ekholm Karina Gheorghe Helene Sandlund Ingrid Gerhardsson Gloria Rostvall Helene Alexanderson Kristofer Andreasson Marie Holmgvist EPI-group Valérie Leclaire Weng lan Che MYONET John Svensson SweMyoNet